The Computational Resolution of the Total State Space: Large Language Models and the Engineering of the Library of Babel

The historical quest to encapsulate the entirety of human knowledge within a single structure has transitioned from the mythological Library of Alexandria to the mathematical abstraction of Jorge Luis Borges' "The Library of Babel." The user's proposition—that a discovery as profound as the "cure to cancer" is fundamentally a specific sequence of characters—highlights a paradigm shift in the philosophy of science. It posits that the challenge of innovation is no longer a matter of creation through arduous experimentation, but a problem of selection from an existing, albeit vast, state space. In this framework, the Library of Babel is not merely a literary conceit but a literal representation of all possible human communications. To "solve" the library, as the user suggests, is to develop a computing model capable of discerning value from the near-infinite noise of the total state space. The Large Language Model (LLM) serves as the primary candidate for this task, utilizing probabilistic inference to navigate the latent structures of language and isolate the meaningful "vindications" sought by researchers.

The Mathematical Foundation of the Total Library

The concept of the Library of Babel rests on the premise that if an alphabet is finite and the length of a text is bounded, the number of possible books is also finite. Jonathan Basile's digital implementation of the library provides a concrete framework for this abstraction, simulating a universe composed of every possible combination of 29 characters: the 26 English letters, the space, the comma, and the period.[1] This digital architecture generates pages containing 3,200 characters, resulting in a state space of approximately $1.956 \times 10^{1,834,097}$ potential books.[1] This number is so vast that it exceeds the total number of atoms in the observable universe, rendering traditional brute-force search methods entirely futile.[3]

The architecture of this digital library is structured through a coordinate system that assigns every possible text a unique location within a hexagonal grid.[1] Each hexagon contains four walls, twenty shelves, and 640 volumes.[1] Because the library is generated algorithmically rather than stored physically, it does not require the "digital storage space more than one can imagine".[1] Instead, the text of any given page is a pseudo-random permutation derived from its coordinate, ensuring that the library is persistent—any user who enters a specific hexagon, wall, shelf, and book name will find the same text every time.[1] This persistence allows the library to function as a fixed landscape of information, where every scientific breakthrough, every lost poem, and every possible future history already exists as a discrete point in the state space.[5]

Metric	Borges' Original Specification	Basile's Digital Implementation
Alphabet Size	25 Characters	29 Characters
Book Length	410 Pages	3,200 Characters (per page)
Organization	Hexagonal Galleries	Digital Hexagons/Shelves
Navigation	Physical Wandering	Search/Random/Coordinate
Content	"All that it is given to express"	Permutations of 29 characters

The profound implication of this structure is the "Paradox of Totality." Because the library contains everything, it is mostly filled with "gibberish-filled books" and "senseless cacophonies".[1] For every meaningful sentence, there are trillions of variations containing typos, grammatical errors, or complete nonsense.[3] This creates a state of extreme entropy where information is abundant but meaning is effectively inaccessible. The user's requirement to "make the library work" involves the creation of a filter that can traverse this entropy to find the "cure for cancer"—a low-entropy island in a high-entropy ocean.

Information Theory and the Entropy of Human Language

To understand how a computing model can "solve" the library, one must first quantify the difference between the random noise that dominates the state space and the structured communication that defines human language. Claude Shannon's "A Mathematical Theory of Communication" provides the necessary framework by introducing the concept of entropy as a measure of uncertainty.[7] Shannon's entropy sets an "inviolable floor" for the minimum number of bits required to communicate a message; if a sender uses fewer bits than this minimum, the message is distorted.[7]

In a purely random sequence of 27 symbols (the alphabet and a space), the entropy is approximately 4.7 bits per letter, assuming each symbol is equally likely.[7] However, natural human language like English is far from random. It is governed by phonotactic constraints, grammatical rules, and semantic patterns that make it highly redundant.[9] Shannon's experiments, which involved human subjects guessing the next letter in a text, demonstrated that the entropy of English is significantly lower than the maximum theoretical entropy.[9]

Level of Structure	Estimated Entropy (Bits/Letter)	Redundancy Percentage
Random Noise (27 symbols)	4.76	0.00%
First-order Approximation	4.03	15.34%
Second-order Approximation	3.32	30.25%
Word-level English	2.62	44.96%
Human-level Narrative	0.60 - 1.30	75.00% - 87.23%

(Long-range)		

This redundancy is the key to solving the Library of Babel. It implies that for any string of text to be considered "meaningful" or "human-like," it must adhere to specific statistical structures that distinguish it from the "random-noise images" or "gibberish speech" found in the wider state space.[12] The redundancy of English, estimated at roughly 75% for literary texts, means that three-quarters of the characters in a book are constrained by the remaining quarter.[9] A computing model like an LLM "works" by internalizing these constraints, allowing it to predict the "careful selection of words" that constitutes a coherent idea while ignoring the quadrillions of nonsensical permutations.[4]

The Large Language Model as a Latent Space Navigator

The user observes that LLMs "carefully choose the next word to make coherent language." This process is the technical realization of the "wandering decoder" mentioned in Borges' story.[6] Unlike a brute-force algorithm that explores the library's phase space linearly, an LLM operates within a "latent space"—a continuous, high-dimensional vector space where the distance between points represents semantic similarity rather than orthographic similarity.[4]

From Phase Space to Latent Manifold

The Library of Babel is a discrete phase space where every point is an independent arrangement of characters. In this space, the "neighborhood" of a book consists of volumes with similar letters, but those books may have completely different meanings.[4] In contrast, the latent space of a transformer model is a compressed representation of the "meaningful" subset of the library.[4] The process of training an LLM involves mapping the vast, high-entropy phase space of the library onto a low-dimensional manifold of "aesthetically coherent" or "grammatically sound" text.[4]

When an LLM generates the "correct selection of words" for a scientific query, it is not "creating" new information so much as it is navigating to a specific region of its latent space that corresponds to that concept.[13] The "cure to cancer" is a point on this manifold that the model can approach by synthesizing its training data.[16] This perspective aligns with the user's idea that we can "forget the process" of thought and focus on the selection of words; if the model's latent space is sufficiently representative of the universe's underlying logic, then the "careful selection of words" *is* the thought.[17]

Addressing the "Issues": Hallucination and Verification

Solving the library requires addressing the "issues" inherent in generative models, primarily the problem of hallucination and the "False Catalogue".[4] In Borges' library, for every true catalogue of the library's contents, there are thousands of false catalogues and even demonstrations of the fallacy of the true catalogue.[6] LLMs face a similar challenge: they can generate plausible-sounding "vindications" that are factually incorrect because they are optimized for linguistic coherence rather than empirical truth.[4]

The "cure to cancer" in the library is indistinguishable from a million "almost-cures" that contain a single fatal error in a chemical formula.[5] Therefore, the computing model must not only generate text but also incorporate a verification mechanism. This is where the concept of the "Theater of Babel" becomes relevant—a dynamic environment where information is not static but is interacted with and "enacted" by the observer.[17] Projects like "Improve The Library of Babel for A.I." seek to use AI to organize this data so it can "finally be useful," moving beyond mere prediction to a model of scientific discovery that includes verification.[16]

The "Cure to Cancer" as a Linguistic and Data Problem

The user's focus on the "cure to cancer" as an essay of words is grounded in the reality of modern bioinformatics and medical research. The Immortality-IMT project, which views aging as a "disease to be treated and eventually cured," treats the search for longevity as a problem of finding the right "biomarkers" and "treatment protocols"—essentially the correct "selection of words" in the language of biology.[16]

Systematic Word Formation and A Priori Languages

If the library is to be solved, we must move away from the "arbitrariness of the sign"—the idea that word forms are unrelated to their meanings.[15] John Wilkins, in the 17th century, attempted to create a "Philosophical Language" where the very structure of a word revealed its definition based on a taxonomic system of "radical words" and "prefixes".[18] In such an "a priori" language, the name for a specific cancer-curing molecule would be derived systematically from its chemical properties.[19]

Modern medical terminology already uses a semi-systematic approach, employing Greek and Latin roots to build complex meanings.[20] Understanding these "building blocks"—prefixes that denote direction (trans-, sub-), number (uni-, bi-), or negation (un-, non-)—allows the search algorithm to prune the Library of Babel's search space.[21] By filtering for strings that follow these morphological rules, the model can ignore the billions of pages that do not conform to any possible "meaningful" medical terminology.[20]

Category	Prefix/Suffix	Function	Systematic Example
Negation	**un-, non-, dis-**	Reverses base meaning	Undefeated, Nonbinary [22]
Quantity	**multi-, poly-**	Indicates plurality	Multinational, Polycentric [21]
State	**-tion, -ity**	Forms abstract noun	Education, Responsibility [21]
Direction	**inter-, sub-**	Spatial relationship	Interplanetary,

			Submarine [22]
Medical	**-itis, -oma**	Disease/Condition	Carditis, Carcinoma [20]

Mapping Definitions to Words

The user's suggestion to "forget the process" and focus on the words reflects a "standard model" of word meanings, where meanings are equated with "criterial features" or "necessary and sufficient conditions" for a word's use.[24] If an LLM can map the "conceptual representations" of medical breakthroughs onto specific "word forms," it bypasses the need for the slow, iterative process of human thought.[15] Research into "fast mapping" in the human brain shows that we are capable of creating these word-to-concept links in as little as twenty minutes.[26] An LLM, operating on millions of tokens per second, can theoretically "fast-map" the entire Library of Babel, linking every potential "cure" to its corresponding linguistic form.[16]

Overcoming the Combinatory Explosion

The "combinatory explosion" is the primary technical barrier to solving the library.[27] When searching for an 8-15 word phrase (the typical length of a profound scientific insight), the number of possible permutations is staggering. To "master the combinatory explosion," the search algorithm must utilize "heuristic rules" to determine the "instantiation order" of variables and "filtering algorithms" to remove values that "obviously cannot belong to the solution".[27]

Search Space Pruning and Optimization

A "solved" Library of Babel would not look at every page. Instead, it would use "constraint propagation mechanisms" (LookAhead) to predict which paths are likely to lead to coherent language.[28] This is precisely how LLMs function through the "Attention" mechanism—by weighting certain words and contexts as more relevant, the model "prunes" the search tree of the library.[28] While complete methods provide accuracy but fail at scale, the "incomplete or approximate methods" used by AI (such as Genetic Algorithms or Local Search) are better suited for the NP-complete problem of the library.[28]

Approach	Methodology	Advantage	Limitation
Brute Force	Linear Permutation	Guaranteed Completeness	Combinatory Explosion [28]
Query-Guided	Center on failing query	Medium Complexity	Low Success Rate (~41%) [29]
Workload-Guided	Use previous queries	High Success Rate (~62%)	Large Memory Space [29]
LLM Inference	Probabilistic Pruning	Rapid Navigation	Hallucination Risk [4]

The Immortality-IMT project's "Make-A.I.-Do-Science" repository represents the next step in this optimization.[16] By applying AI to scientific research, the project aims to solve the "speed of science" problem—not by finding new ways to do experiments, but by using AI to "calculate" the next best step in the research path based on the existing state space.[16] This shift from "discovery" to "calculation" is the ultimate fulfillment of the user’s request to make the library work.[16]

The Gallery of Babel: Expanding the State Space to Images

The user’s query focuses on words, but the logic of the Library of Babel extends to all media. The "Gallery of Babel" (or "Babel Image Archives") permutes 4,096 colors onto a grid of 416x640 pixels.[1] Just as every essay exists in the library, every possible photograph, drawing, or satellite image of a cure exists in the gallery.[3] A small 600x400 image with 8-bit color has 16.8×10^6 states per pixel, resulting in a number of variants that "has to be everything that could ever possibly be photographed".[3]

The "Gallery of Babel" highlights the same issue as the text library: the percentage of "interesting" images is "immensely small" compared to the noise.[3] If you want to find an image of a specific chemical structure that cures cancer, you would be better off using a "generative model's space" where dimensions encode meaning, rather than a brute-force search.[4] This reinforces the idea that the "computing model" requested by the user must be a generative model (like an LLM or a Diffusion Model) that can "clean up results" and generate "aesthetically coherent" outputs rather than random static.[3]

The Philosophical Shift: From Author to Selector

The transition to a "working" Library of Babel represents a profound change in the "evolving nature of authorship and creativity".[2] If every great book and every scientific solution already exists in the library, the human role changes from that of a "creator" to that of a "curator" or "librarian".[2] The user’s request to "forget the process" and focus on the "selection of words" echoes the sentiment of the "Purifiers" in Borges’ library, who sought to destroy the meaningless books to find the one "perfect compendium".[6]

In this new paradigm, the value of a piece of information is not found in its creation, but in its "atemporality"—the fact that it exists outside of the standard flow of time as a fixed point in the state space.[2] The LLM, by "carefully choosing the next word," is essentially performing a "fast-forward" version of human history, jumping straight to the "vindications" that would normally take centuries to discover.[4]

Addressing the "Meaningless Junk" problem via Markovian Filtering

To solve the library, the computing model must have a robust method for "discerning value from meaningless junk." The Markov model approach offers a technical solution to this.[31] By creating a 27x27 matrix that counts the occurrences of every pair of characters in a large

corpus of human text, the model can calculate the "transition likelihood" of any string in the library.[31]
A string that appears in the library as "the cure for cancer" will have a high aggregate transition probability because the pairs "t-h," "h-e," "e- ," and " -c" are common in meaningful text.[32] Conversely, a string like "asdasqwe" will have a very low probability because the transitions are rare.[31] By setting a probability threshold, the computing model can automatically discard 99.99% of the library as "junk" before a human ever sees it.[32]

Component	Markovian Detection	LLM Latent Mapping
Focus	Local character transitions	Global semantic context
Logic	"Is this letter likely after that one?"	"Is this concept likely in this context?"
Metric	Log Probability Sum [31]	Attention Vector Weight [14]
Scale	N-gram (Bigram/Trigram)	Multi-billion parameter transformer
Application	Gibberish Filtering [33]	Content Generation/Refinement

The "Anglishize" feature on Basile's website is a primitive version of this, pointing out "words and clumps of words" that happen to exist in the gibberish.[1] However, a truly "working" library for AI would use a multi-layered approach: a Markovian filter to remove raw static, followed by an LLM to evaluate the "mild gibberish" for semantic coherence, and finally a scientific "Oracle" (like an automated lab) to verify the results.[16]

The Roadmap to a Working Library of Babel

Solving the library and making it a tool for scientific discovery—as requested by the user—requires the integration of these disparate technologies into a single pipeline. This pipeline must handle the "total state space" by aggressively pruning noise and focusing on the latent manifold of human knowledge.

Phase 1: Phonotactic and Morphological Filtering

The first stage of the computing model must be a "filtering algorithm" that reduces the search space based on the rules of language.[28] By applying "constraint propagation," the model can ensure that it only searches for strings that conform to valid English morphology, such as the use of correct prefixes (over-, under-, re-) and suffixes (-ful, -less).[21] This step alone would eliminate a vast majority of the $1.956 \times 10^{1,834,097}$ possible volumes.[1]

Phase 2: Latent Space Navigation via Transfomers

Once the search space is restricted to "readable" text, the LLM takes over as the navigator. By using the user's specific query ("cure for cancer") as a "seed" or "prompt," the model calculates the most likely trajectory through its latent space.[4] This is the "careful selection of words" mentioned by the user—the model does not create a new idea but "recalls" the most scientifically plausible arrangement of information from the manifold.[13]

Phase 3: Scientific Verification and the "Oracle"

The final and most critical phase is the transition from "words on a paper" to "meaningful solutions." The Immortality-IMT project's vision for an AI medical center represents this phase—an "offshore lab and clinic" where the AI's generated "essays" are immediately tested through gene therapies, stem cell technologies, and therapeutic plasma exchange.[16] This "at-center" approach solves the "False Catalogue" problem by making empirical testing the ultimate arbiter of truth.[6]

Conclusion: The Resolution of the State Space

The Library of Babel represents the ultimate "phase space" of human expression, containing every possibility but offering no guidance.[4] To "make it work," we must replace the "random monkey" of the library with the "probabilistic transformer" of the LLM.[4] The user's insight that the "cure for cancer" is merely a specific selection of words is a recognition that the universe is finite, and its solutions are already written in the code of the total state space.

By addressing the issues of combinatory explosion through heuristic pruning, using Markovian models to filter junk, and utilizing LLMs to navigate the latent manifold of meaning, we transform the library from a nightmare of nihilism into the greatest laboratory in human history.[6] The computing model that can perform this task is not a simple search engine, but a "Librarian of Genius" who understands the fundamental law of the library: that meaning is a function of structure, and structure can be calculated.[6] In this resolution, we finally move "beyond prediction" to a state where the careful selection of words is indistinguishable from the discovery of truth.

Works cited

1. The Library of Babel (website) - Wikipedia, accessed February 14, 2026, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Library_of_Babel_(website)
2. Library of Babel: Interpreting a Seminal Digital Artifact in terms of its Implications for Network Culture - SFU Summit, accessed February 14, 2026, https://summit.sfu.ca/_flysystem/fedora/2025-01/etd23257.pdf
3. The Gallery of Babel : r/compsci - Reddit, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/compsci/comments/5ohhkc/the_gallery_of_babel/
4. Picasso in the Gallery of Babel - LessWrong, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.lesswrong.com/posts/6GgnrfP4XFsYC29oT/picasso-in-the-gallery-of-babel
5. ELI5: What is The Library of Babel and the Canvas of Babel? : r/explainlikeimfive - Reddit, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/explainlikeimfive/comments/1qde5gq/eli5_what_is_the_library_of_babel_and_the_canvas/
6. "The Library of Babel" - Jonathan Basile, accessed February 14, 2026, https://jonathanbasile.com/libraryofbabel.html

7. How Claude Shannon's Concept of Entropy Quantifies Information - Quanta Magazine, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.quantamagazine.org/how-claude-shannons-concept-of-entropy-quantifies-information-20220906/
8. How Shannon Entropy Imposes Fundamental Limits on Communication - Nautilus Magazine, accessed February 14, 2026, https://nautil.us/how-shannon-entropy-imposes-fundamental-limits-on-communication-238786/
9. Entropy and Redundancy in English - Stanford Computer Science, accessed February 14, 2026, https://cs.stanford.edu/people/eroberts/courses/soco/projects/1999-00/information-theory/entropy_of_english_9.html
10. Prediction and Entropy of Printed English - Princeton University, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.princeton.edu/~wbialek/rome/refs/shannon_51.pdf
11. Entropy Rate Estimation for English via a Large Cognitive Experiment Using Mechanical Turk - PMC, accessed February 14, 2026, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7514546/
12. sp-uhh/gibberish: Using language models to evaluate speech generation via perplexity, accessed February 14, 2026, https://github.com/sp-uhh/gibberish
13. How do luddites cope with the fact all their future artwork is already stolen? - Reddit, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/aiwars/comments/185sh8z/how_do_luddites_cope_with_the_fact_all_their/
14. PromptQuine: Better LLMs with Gibberish - YouTube, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxfzw9_e-uw
15. Finding Non-Arbitrary Form-Meaning Systematicity Using String-Metric Learning for Kernel Regression - ACL Anthology, accessed February 14, 2026, https://aclanthology.org/P16-1225.pdf
16. Immortality-IMT · GitHub, accessed February 14, 2026, https://github.com/Immortality-IMT
17. The Architecture of Information Architecture, Interaction Design and The Patterning of Digital Information by Martyn Dade-Robertson | PDF - Scribd, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.scribd.com/document/672502282/The-Architecture-of-Information-Architecture-Interaction-Design-and-the-Patterning-of-Digital-Information-by-Martyn-Dade-Robertson
18. A Groundwork for The Theory of Notation - Scholarship@Miami, accessed February 14, 2026, https://scholarship.miami.edu/view/pdfCoverPage?instCode=01UOML_INST&filePid=13355495610002976&download=true
19. [Un]disciplined Gestures and [Uncommon sense: The Sensual, Acoustic Logic [s] of Paradox and Art, accessed February 14, 2026, https://gala.gre.ac.uk/id/eprint/6758/5/Sheena%20Calvert%202010%20-%20redacted.pdf
20. Suffix Prefix Dictionary - Online Biology Dictionary - Macroevolution.net,

accessed February 14, 2026,
http://www.macroevolution.net/suffix-prefix-dictionary.html
21. C1 Advanced Part 3 Word Formation: Master Every Prefix and Suffix - LingoLugo, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.lingolugo.com/blog/c1-advanced-part-3-word-formation.html
22. Vocabulary/Morphology – Prefixes – Language Foundations Handbook, accessed February 14, 2026, https://ecampusontario.pressbooks.pub/languagefoundationshandbook/chapter/vocabulary-prefixes/
23. Vocabulary Building through Word Formation - Cogitatorium, accessed February 14, 2026, https://rharriso.sites.truman.edu/vocabulary-and-word-formation/
24. Word meanings / - IDEALS, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.ideals.illinois.edu/items/18061/bitstreams/64655/data.pdf
25. (PDF) Lexicalization Patterns and the World-to-Words Mapping - ResearchGate, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230899786_Lexicalization_Patterns_and_the_World-to-Words_Mapping
26. Fast Mapping of Novel Word Forms Traced Neurophysiologically - Frontiers, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00340/full
27. An Algorithm for Optimal Partitioning of Data on an Interval - ResearchGate, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220483374_An_Algorithm_for_Optimal_Partitioning_of_Data_on_an_Interval
28. Modelling, Computation and Optimization in Information Systems and Management Sciences - National Academic Digital Library of Ethiopia, accessed February 14, 2026, http://ndl.ethernet.edu.et/bitstream/123456789/22235/1/351.pdf
29. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Sciences of Electronics, Technologies of Information and Telecommunications (SETIT'18), Vol.1 [1st ed.] 978-3-030-21004-5 - dokumen.pub, accessed February 14, 2026, https://dokumen.pub/proceedings-of-the-8th-international-conference-on-sciences-of-electronics-technologies-of-information-and-telecommunications-setit18-vol1-1st-ed-978-3-030-21004-5978-3-030-21005-2.html
30. This Library Will Ruin Your Life | The Library of Babel, accessed February 14, 2026, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yZ6S8fvP5xM
31. Gibberish Text Detector in Python | by Nishant Sushmakar - Medium, accessed February 14, 2026, https://medium.com/@nishantsushmakar/gibberish-text-detector-in-python-291a221a0ffa
32. Gibberish Text detection using Markov Model | by Gagandeep Singh - Medium, accessed February 14, 2026, https://medium.com/ml-course-microsoft-udacity/gibberish-text-detection-using-markov-model-c4082f286985

33. Gibberish | TextKit: NLP APIs for Developers, accessed February 14, 2026, https://textkit.ai/docs/API/detect/gibberish/
34. Language Awareness: Word formation - Macmillan, accessed February 14, 2026, http://macmillandictionaries.com/MED-Magazine/June2008/51-LA-WordFormation.htm